

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/356,926	07/19/1999	WIM J. VAN OOIJ	19789-008 8477		
75	90 07/18/2003				
DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP			EXAMINER		
1900 CHEMED CENTER 255 EAST FIFTH STREET			LAVILLA, MICHAEL E		
CINCINNATI,	OH 45202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1775		
			DATE MAILED: 07/18/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					<u> </u>
		Application N	o. 🔻	Applicant(s)	- 1
	Office Action Comments	09/356,926		OOIJ ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examin r		Art Unit	
		Michael La Vil	_	1775	•
Th Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communicati eply	on appears on the cov	er sheet with the c	correspondence addre)SS
THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6 - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re - Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT of time may be available under the provisions of 37 b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory eply within the set or extended period for reply will, be decived by the Office later than three months after the left term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, ho tion. s, a reply within the statutory ny period will apply and will expiry statute. cause the application	wever, may a reply be tin ninimum of thirty (30) day e SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35.U.S.C. 8.133)	nunication.
1)□ Re	sponsive to communication(s) filed o	on <u>07 July 2003</u> .			
2a)⊠ Thi	is action is FINAL . 2b)[This action is non-	final.		
3) Sin clo Disposition o	nce this application is in condition for sed in accordance with the practice of Claims	allowance except for under Ex parte Quayle	formal matters, pr e, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the n I53 O.G. 213.	nerits is
4)⊠ Clai	m(s) <u>1-6,9,10,12-14,16,17,39 and 4</u>	<u>0</u> is/are pending in the	application.		
4a) (Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	ithdrawn from conside	eration.		
5)∐ Clai	m(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Clai	m(s) <u>1-6,9,10,12-14,16,17,39 and 40</u>	is/are rejected.			
7)∐ Clai	m(s) is/are objected to.				
8)∐ Clai	m(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requir	ement.		
Application P		·			
9)☐ The :	specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.			
10) ☐ The c	drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□	accepted or b) object	ted to by the Exar	niner.	_
Apı	olicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be he	eld in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The p	proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approv	⁄ed b)∐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.	
	pproved, corrected drawings are required		ction. ု		
12) ☐ The c	oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner.	·		
Priority under	r 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			_	
13)☐ Ackr	nowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
a)∐ All	b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
1.□	Certified copies of the priority docu	ıments have been rec	eived.		
2.	Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been rec	eived in Application	on No.	
3. <u>□</u> * See th		e priority documents h lal Bureau (PCT Rule	ave been receive	d in this National Sta	ge
	wledgment is made of a claim for do		<u>-</u>		olication)
a) 🔲 🛚	The translation of the foreign languagowledgment is made of a claim for do	je provisional applicat	ion has been rece	eived.	shoutiony.
Attachment(s)		-			
2) Notice of Dr.	eferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N		Notice of Informal Page 1	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-15	2)
S. Patent and Trademark TO-326 (Rev. 04-0		ice Action Summary		Part of Paper No. 23	

Application/Control Number: 09/356,926 Page 2

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 9, 10, 12-14, 16, 17, and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown et al. USP 6,071,566 for the reasons of record in the Office Action mailed on 2 January 2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
- 5. The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 6. Claim 40 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter

Application/Control Number: 09/356,926

Art Unit: 1775

which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 40 is supposed to derive support from original Claim 15 and page 18, lines 1-5.

However, at neither portion is the invention described as it is claimed in Claim 40. At page 18, a combination of specific compounds, namely, VS and A-1170, in a volumetric ratio of 9:1 is described. The claim is broader and covers combinations of other compounds at ratios of 9:1 or more. The claim encompasses subject matter not apparently envisaged at the time of filing and is appropriately rejected on new matter grounds.

Response to Arguments

In view of applicant's amendments and arguments, applicant traverses the section 103 rejection over Brown of the Office Action mailed on 2 January 2003. Applicant argues that the claimed volumetric ratio is not taught or suggested by Brown since Brown teaches a preferable ratio of 1:2. However, Brown teaches that the ratio may range from 4:1 to 1:8 at col. 6, lines 38-40 and exemplifies a 4:1 ratio at col. 8, line 6. Hence, Brown does appear to suggest the claimed ratios of at least 1 and 4. Rejection is maintained.

Application/Control Number: 09/356,926

Art Unit: 1775

Conclusion

- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 8. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael La Villa whose telephone number is (703) 308-4428. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.
- 10. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (703) 308-3822. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned

Art Unit: 1775

are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

11. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Michael La Villa July 15, 2003